

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_113030
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of water hyacinth as growing media for Amaranthus in open water culture, hydroponics and land cultivation in Kuttanad Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The manuscript reveals originality and makes a significant contribution to the advancement of science. 2. The title of the article is correct and understandable 3. The abstract is written in accordance with scientific writing standards and guidelines. 4. The author of the article should add a conceptual and theoretical framework. 5. The manuscript is acceptable in form and content. 6. The references cited in the text are current and address the issues addressed by the researcher.	Thank you for the kind review given for the article. A conceptual frame work is added as suggested here.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments	Draw up a conceptual and theoretical framework, discuss your results thoroughly, draw up a new conclusion with your own contribution as a researcher, draw up new references and harmonise them according to a defined model. I suggest you use the APA model.	All the valuable suggestions have been addressed to the best of our ability

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	